



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/593,465

09/19/2006

Hitoshi Aoki

Q96905

2689

23373 7590 11/10/2011  
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1629

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/10/2011

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@sughrue.com  
sughrue@sughrue.com  
PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/593,465	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AOKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KEVIN E. WEDDINGTON	<b>Art Unit</b> 1629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KEVIN E. WEDDINGTON. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Debodhonyaa Sengupta. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 November 2011.

Type:    ☒ Telephonic    ☐ Video Conference  
           ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant    ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:    ☐ Yes    ☒ No.  
     If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed    ☐101    ☐112    ☐102    ☐103    ☒Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: The claims in general.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

**Substance of Interview**  
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The attorney of record, Ms. Sengupta, was called on November 3, 2011 to inquire about filing a response to the outstanding Office action dated March 28, 2011. As of November 4, 2011, no return call from the attorney of record; therefore, the present application is now considered to be abandoned.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/KEVIN E WEDDINGTON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1629	
---	--